

---

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

• 445 Broadway, Albany, NY. 12207-2936 •

---

United States Grand Jury<sup>1</sup> (*Status: sovereign<sup>2</sup>*)  
Tribunal, the People

- against -

United States Supreme Court, Federal Judiciary  
U.S. Senate, and U.S. House of Representatives  
(*Status: clipped sovereignty*)

Defendants

**JURISDICTION:** Court of Record<sup>3</sup>  
Law Case No. 1776-1789-1791-2019

Administrator Grand Jury Foreman  
Depository Case No. 1:16-CV-1490

- **WRIT MANDAMUS<sup>4</sup>**
- **ACTION AT LAW<sup>5</sup> DEMANDING  
A RETURN TO THE LAW<sup>6</sup>**
- **DECISION & ORDER**

Copied: President Trump, AG William Barr

5

---

**MEMORANDUM OF LAW ABORTION**

The purpose of this memorandum is to reveal the sources and agenda of the American Roots of abortion in contrast to Natural Law. Abortion is a natural law<sup>7</sup> issue and not a positive law<sup>8</sup> issue that was adjudicated unconstitutionally in the case Row v. Wade by

---

<sup>1</sup>The UUSCLGJ is comprised of fifty Grand Juries each unified amongst the counties within their respective States. All fifty States have unified nationally as an assembly of Thousands of People in the name of We the People to suppress, through our Courts of Justice, subverters both foreign and domestic acting under color of law within our governments. States were unified by re-constituting all 3,133 United States counties.

<sup>2</sup>“**Sovereignty**” means that the decree of sovereign makes law, and foreign courts cannot condemn influences persuading sovereign to make the decree.” Moscow Fire Ins. Co. of Moscow, Russia v. Bank of New York & Trust Co., 294 N.Y.S. 648, 662, 161 Misc. 903.; The people of this State, as the successors of its former sovereign, are entitled to all the rights which formerly belonged to the King by his prerogative. Lansing v. Smith, 4 Wend. 9 (N.Y.) (1829), 21 Am. Dec. 89 10C Const. Law Sec. 298; 18 C Em.Dom. Sec. 3, 228; 37 C Nav.Wat. Sec. 219; Nuls Sec. 167; 48 C Wharves Sec. 3, 7.

<sup>3</sup>“**A Court of Record** is a judicial tribunal having attributes and exercising functions independently of the person of the magistrate designated generally to hold it, and proceeding according to the course of common law, its acts and proceedings being enrolled for a perpetual memorial.” Jones v. Jones, 188 Mo.App. 220, 175 S.W. 227, 229; Ex parte Gladhill, 8 Metc. Mass., 171, per Shaw, C.J. See, also, Ledwith v. Rosalsky, 244 N.Y. 406, 155 N.E. 688, 689.

<sup>4</sup>The action of mandamus is one, brought in a court of competent jurisdiction, to obtain an order of such court **commanding an inferior tribunal to do without discretion**, which the law enjoins as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station. Rev Code Iowa, 1880, §3373 (Code 1931, §12440).

<sup>5</sup>**AT LAW:** [Bouvier’s] This phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity.

<sup>6</sup>**AT LAW:** Blacks 4th This phrase is used to point out that a thing is to be done according to the course of the common law; it is distinguished from a proceeding in equity.

<sup>7</sup>Natural Law: Laws of nature and of nature’s God; here men are subject to the will of God with unalienable right at liberty from all human law.

<sup>8</sup>Human Law: Code, Statutes and Regulations; here men are subject to the will of government with legislated civil rights a/k/a as privileges that are granted, or not, by government.

10 the United States Supreme Court which is an equity court governed by codes and statutes that seized a jurisdiction that they were not vested with. Congress has no authority to rewrite natural law and the U.S. Supreme Court clearly has no authority to second guess God and adjudicate, thereby overruling God. Whereas the issue of abortion's proper jurisdiction is found in Natural Law's Court of Record adjudicated by  
15 the King's Bench (jury – We the People) made-up of twelve People who are to mirror the will of nature's God via the laws written in our hearts<sup>9</sup> thereby answering to Him alone. The United States Supreme Court does not have the authority to think and act like Gods' adversary [Satan] that they can change God's Laws. Has the spirit of antichrist embodied our courts? Have we as a People become so depraved?

20 *“And he shall speak great words against the most High, and shall wear out the saints of the most High, and think to change times and laws:” - Dan 7:25*

*“The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the States, are reserved to the States respectively, or to the People.” - Amendment X*

25 When the issue of “Abortion” worked its way through the Federal District Courts where it found itself on the steps of the United States Supreme Court, the court was obligated to treat it as a Natural Law issue and rule it as a unalienable rights' issue on behalf of the child in the womb citing at minimum the natural law maxim that a person is innocent until “proven” guilty and with this principal it should have been realized that a  
30 child in the womb is a “living soul” until proven that their not. The Supreme Court failed in its duty to “*secure the blessings of liberty to our posterity,*” by protecting our posterity's unalienable right to life where we read in the preamble to our Constitution:

35 *“We the people of the United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.”*

Government is to secure the right to life especially when it comes to God's most precious gift to us, “children” that He called the “*fruit of the womb.*” There is absolutely

---

<sup>9</sup> Rom. 2:14-15 For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves: Which show the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another;)

40 no language in the American debate for Liberty to support anything less than “*children  
are a blessing*” and when we murder our children we deny His blessing, and place  
ourselves under his wrath. There are no greater issues that have caused the woes of  
America than slavery and abortion and thereby cursed our Nation to suffer his wrath  
under the destroying wind<sup>10</sup> of the deep-state tyrants that have seized control of our  
45 national destiny. It’s time to repent and correct the record as we did for slavery for  
“God’s judgment cannot sleep forever.”<sup>11</sup>

Amos 6:11-14 “*For, behold, the LORD commandeth, and he will smite the  
great house with breaches, and the little house with clefts. Shall horses run  
upon the rock? will one plow there with oxen? for ye have turned judgment  
50 into gall, [venom] and the fruit of righteousness into hemlock [poison]: Ye  
which rejoice in a thing of nought, which say, Have we not taken to us horns  
[power] by our own strength? But, behold, I will raise up against you a  
nation, O house of Israel, saith the LORD the God of hosts; and they shall  
afflict you from the entering in of Hemath unto the river of the wilderness.”*

55 All because we have forgotten our roots:

Hosea 4:6 “*My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou  
hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest  
to me: seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy  
children. ... Hosea 6:1 Come, and let us return unto the LORD: for he hath  
60 torn, and he will heal us; he hath smitten, and he will bind us up.” - Natures  
God*

Or, we can return to our roots as one nation under God with Liberty and Justice for all.

2 Chr 7:14 “*If my people, which are called by my name, shall humble  
themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways;  
65 then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their  
land.”*

## **HISTORY OF PLANNED PARENTHOOD AND GENOCIDE <sup>12</sup>**

---

<sup>10</sup> Jer 51:1 “Thus saith the LORD; Behold, I will raise up against Babylon, and against them that dwell in the midst of them that rise up against me, a destroying wind;”

<sup>11</sup> Thomas Jefferson.

<sup>12</sup> Research prepared by [www.restoringamerica.org/nazism\\_planned\\_parenthood.htm](http://www.restoringamerica.org/nazism_planned_parenthood.htm).

70 “The demand that defective people be prevented from propagating equally defective offspring...represents the most humane act of mankind.” Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, Vol. 1, Chapter 10.

“... we prefer the policy of immediate sterilization, of making sure that parenthood is ‘absolutely prohibited’ to the feeble-minded.” Margaret Sanger, Founder of Planned Parenthood, *The Pivot of Civilization*, p.102.

75 Most people today think that Planned Parenthood and Nazi Germany have little in common. Their histories show otherwise. Margaret Sanger, the founder of Planned Parenthood, subscribed to a Hitlerian philosophy of Eugenics - the science of improving “racial health” by socially engineering human reproduction. Both Hitler and the founders of Planned Parenthood advocated birth control, sterilization, and segregation in concentration camps for the “unfit.”

80 **“TO CREATE A RACE OF THOROUGHBREDS”** is the slogan from Margaret Sanger's December 1921 issue of her publication, *Birth Control Review*. It summarizes her philosophy. Like Adolf Hitler, Sanger considered herself to be part of a genetically superior elite who had to protect themselves against “hereditary taints.” She set out to start a “New Race” – “A Race of Thoroughbreds.”

85 In 1921, Margaret Sanger founded the American Birth Control League, which was renamed Planned Parenthood in 1942. In 1952, she helped found the International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF), serving as its first president until 1959. Even today, Planned Parenthood proudly proclaims her as its “visionary” founder. Sanger's 1922 manifesto, *The Pivot of Civilization*, states the following: “*Birth Control which*  
90 *has been criticized as negative and destructive, is really the greatest and most truly eugenic method, and its adoption as part of the program of Eugenics would immediately give a concrete and realistic power to that science...as the most constructive and necessary of the means to racial health.*” Margaret Sanger, *The Pivot of Civilization*, Brentano's Press, NY, 1922, p. 189.

95 The founders of Planned Parenthood had more ties to Hitler than just a shared vision. Their board of directors included avowed Nazi supporters like Dr. Lothrop Stoddard who authored *The Rising Tide of Color Against White Supremacy* and another praising the Nazi sterilization law. They used their official publication to spread Nazi propaganda.

100 In April of 1933, *Birth Control Review* published an article by Dr. Ernst Rubin, who  
was Hitler's director of genetic sterilization and a founder of the Nazi Society for Racial  
Hygiene. In that article Dr. Rubin wrote: "*The danger to the community of the  
unsegregated feeble-minded woman is more evident. Most dangerous are the middle  
and high grades living at large who, despite the fact that their defect is not easily  
105 recognizable, should nevertheless be prevented from procreation...In my view we  
should act without delay.*" Prof. Dr. Ernst Rudin, "Eugenics Sterilization: An Urgent  
Need," *Birth Control Review*, Vol. XVII, Number 4, April 1933, pp. 102-4.

Both Sanger and Rudin believed it was imperative that the "middle and high grades"  
also be "prevented from procreation." Compare Rudin's quote to this one from Sanger:  
110 "...there is sufficient evidence to lead us to believe that the so-called 'borderline cases'  
are a greater menace than the out-and-out 'defective delinquents' who can be  
supervised, controlled and prevented from procreating their kind." Margaret Sanger,  
*The Pivot of Civilization*, Brentano's Press, NY, 1922, p. 91.

The founders of Planned Parenthood printed Dr. Rudin's article in the same year that he  
115 worked with SS chief Heinrich Himmler to draw up Germany's 1933 sterilization law,  
which called for the sterilization of all Jews and "colored" German children. The Nazi  
sterilization law bears a shocking resemblance to Margaret Sanger's own "Plan for  
Peace." printed in the April 1932 issue of her *Birth Control Review*.

Sanger's plan called for the formation of American concentration camps to "corral" that  
120 "enormous part of our population" with "hereditary taints:" "To apply a stern and rigid  
policy of sterilization and segregation to that grade of population whose progeny is  
already tainted...to apportion farm lands and homesteads for these segregated persons  
where they would be taught to work under competent instructors for the period of their  
entire lives..." Margaret Sanger, "Plan for Peace," *Birth Control Review*, Vol. XVI,  
125 Number 4, April 1932, pp. 107-8.

What percentage of the population would Sanger wish to segregate? After citing army  
statistics she noted: "...nearly half - 47.3 per cent - of the population had the mentality  
of twelve-year-old children or less - in other words that they are morons." Margaret  
Sanger, *The Pivot of Civilization*, Brentano's Press, NY, 1922, p. 263.

130 She went on to say "...only 13,500,000 [or 13.5% of the 100 million U.S. population of  
the time] will ever show superior intelligence." (Ibid. p. 264.) These must be her

“thoroughbreds.” The ones who are not “tainted,” remember that she considered “borderline cases” the most dangerous. Thus, for Sanger, 86.5% of the population would be “morons” or “borderline cases” and should be prevented from procreating!  
135 Not even Hitler went that far.

For the 47.3% who “are morons” she suggested: “The emergency problem of segregation and sterilization must be faced immediately. Every feeble-minded girl or woman of the hereditary type, especially of the moron class, should be segregated during the reproductive period...we prefer the policy of immediate sterilization, of  
140 making sure that parenthood is absolutely prohibited to the feeble-minded.” So much for “reproductive rights!”

Of course, she could not keep people segregated without the use of barbed wire and guard towers. In other words, Sanger was talking about concentration camps. We know what happened in Hitler's camps. If Sanger had been able to build her concentration  
145 camps, would the same thing have happened? This would depend on how charitable she was.

Chapter 5 of Sanger's book is titled “The Cruelty of Charity.” In it she wrote: “*Organized charity itself is...the surest sign that our civilization has bred, is breeding and is perpetuating constantly increasing numbers of defectives, delinquents and dependents.*” Margaret Sanger, *The Pivot of Civilization*, Brentano's Press, NY, 1922. p.  
150 108.

Sanger's words are almost identical to Hitler's. “This is in keeping with the humanitarianism which, to avoid hurting one individual, lets a hundred others perish. The demand that defective people be prevented from propagating equally defective  
155 offspring is a demand of the clearest reason and, if systematically executed, represents the most humane act of mankind.” Adolf Hitler, *Mein Kampf*, Vol. 1, Ch. 10, 1925.

Given the striking similarity in their monstrous rhetoric, it is reasonable to assume that Sanger would have grown weary of feeding these “delinquents.” In this same chapter Sanger went on to mention “a special type of philanthropy or benevolence,...which  
160 strikes me as being more insidiously injurious than any other...to supply gratis medical and nursing facilities to slum mothers.” *The Pivot of Civilization*, p. 114. Sanger considered help to poor mothers the very worst kind of charity.

165 What was the root of her hatred of the poor? "...we are paying for and even submitting to the dictates of an ever increasing, unceasingly spawning class of human beings who never should have been born at all,..." Ibid. p. 187.

170 **PLANNED PARENTHOOD TODAY:** In Sanger's time, the Birth Control movement made no attempts to hide the eugenical philosophy that drove it. Only after World War II, when the public's eyes were opened to the horrors of the Nazi death camps, did it seek to distance itself from the term 'eugenics.' Today's *Culture of Death* would seem to be more "egalitarian" than in Sanger's day.

175 In light of Planned Parenthood's philosophical history, the meaning of its current politics becomes clearer. Sanger and her eugenical colleagues opposed giving maternal care to poor women - the one service Planned Parenthood does not offer today is maternal care. The only choice offered by Planned Parenthood is the choice to kill - not to plan for parenthood. Is it any coincidence that the founders of Planned Parenthood were racists and Nazi propagandists and that so many of its clinics today are in minority neighborhoods?

180 According to their own statistics, 42.7% of the abortions performed by Planned Parenthood are on minorities - that's three times more than on whites, as a percentage of their respective populations. Is it any coincidence that Planned Parenthood publicly supports China's brutal one-child policy, which coerces or forces millions of women into having abortions?

185 Like Adolf Hitler, Planned Parenthood has subjected us to a scapegoat philosophy, which blames societal problems on its poorest members, while sanctioning the avarice of the richest. In its early days, Planned Parenthood inflamed the rich and educated elite against poor people with its philosophy of "racial health." Today, the same organization continues to inflame the wealthy nations with a philosophy of "population control." The effect of these two arguments is the same: poor women are coerced into abortion, birth control, and sterilization.

190 The eugenical philosophy pioneered by the likes of Margaret Sanger and Adolf Hitler is not just a bygone memory. In many poor nations today, such as Peru and Mexico, oppressive governments have imposed sterilization on millions of poor women. The United Nations talks a great deal about population control and many population control agencies will give humanitarian aid only to those poor women who accept sterilization.

195 **YESTERDAY’S ARGUMENT:** The lives of millions of America’s children rested in the  
hands of five Godless men who trespassed Natural Law, the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment, and the  
will of the People in the case of *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113 (1973),<sup>[1]</sup> the landmark  
decision issued in 1973 by the United States Supreme Court that has cursed our Nation  
for more than forty-six years. They lawlessly ruled on the issue of the constitutionality  
200 of laws that criminalized or restricted access to abortions. The Court ruled 7–2 that a  
right to privacy under the Due Process Clause of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment extended to a  
woman's decision to have an abortion, but that this right must be balanced against the  
state's interests in regulating abortions: protecting women's health and protecting the  
potentiality of human life.<sup>13</sup> Arguing that these state interests became stronger over the  
205 course of a pregnancy, the Court resolved this balancing test by tying state regulation of  
abortion to the third trimester of pregnancy. If we follow this insane logic of the right to  
murder children is protected by the right to privacy then it would have to follow that our  
right to murder our children at or after birth or anyone at any age in private would be  
protected by the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment.

210 Later, in *Planned Parenthood v. Casey* (1992),<sup>14</sup> the Court rejected *Roe's* trimester  
framework while affirming its central holding that a woman has a right to abortion until  
fetal viability.<sup>15</sup> The *Roe* decision defined "viable" as "potentially able to live outside  
the mother's womb, albeit with artificial aid."<sup>16</sup> Justices in *Casey* acknowledged that  
viability may occur at 23 or 24 weeks, or sometimes even earlier, in light of medical  
215 advances.<sup>17</sup>

In disallowing many state and federal restrictions on abortion in the United States,<sup>18, 19</sup>  
*Roe v. Wade* prompted a national debate that continues today about issues including  
whether, and to what extent, abortion should be legal, who should decide the legality of  
abortion, what methods the Supreme Court should use in constitutional adjudication,

---

<sup>13</sup> See *Roe*, 410 U.S. at 162 “We repeat, however, that the State does have an important and legitimate interest in preserving and protecting the health of the pregnant woman, whether she be a resident of the State or a non-resident who seeks medical consultation and treatment there, and that it has still another important and legitimate interest in protecting the potentiality of human life.”

<sup>14</sup> *Planned Parenthood v. Casey*, 505 U.S. 833 (1992).

<sup>15</sup> “*Roe v. Wade* and Beyond”, Frontline, PBS (January 19, 2006): “while reaffirming the central holding of *Roe v. Wade*, the court rejected ‘*Roe's* rigid trimester framework’....”

<sup>16</sup> Wood, Mary and Hawkins, Lisa. “State Regulation of Late Abortion and the Physician's Duty of Care to the Viable Fetus,” 45 *Mo. L. Rev.* 394 (1980).

<sup>17</sup> *Casey*, 505 U.S. at 860.

<sup>18</sup> Mears, William; Franken, Bob (January 22, 2003). “30 years after ruling, ambiguity, anxiety surround abortion debate.” CNN. “In all, the *Roe* and *Doe* rulings impacted laws in 46 states.”

<sup>19</sup> *Greenhouse* 2005, p. 72.



220 and what the role should be of religious and moral views in the political sphere. *Roe v. Wade* reshaped national politics, dividing much of the United States into pro-life and pro-choice camps, while activating grassroots movements on both sides.

Roe received significant criticism in the legal community,<sup>20</sup> with the decision being widely seen as an extreme form of judicial activism.<sup>21</sup> In a highly cited 1973 article in the *Yale Law Journal*,<sup>12, 13</sup> John Hart Ely criticized *Roe* as a decision that “is not constitutional law and gives almost no sense of an obligation to try to be.”<sup>22</sup> Ely added, “What is frightening about *Roe* is that this super-protected right is not inferable from the language of the Constitution, the framers’ thinking respecting the specific problem in issue, any general value derivable from the provisions they included, or the nation’s governmental structure.” Professor Laurence Tribe had similar thoughts: “One of the most curious things about *Roe* is that, behind its own verbal smokescreen, the substantive judgment on which it rests is nowhere to be found.”<sup>23</sup>

**TODAY’S GROUND ZERO:** The 2019 New York born-alive abortion bill mentions abortion in cases where “a woman’s life or health is at risk.” Greg Gilbert writes, “In abortion discussions, ‘health [of the mother]’ always includes everything; physical health, mental health, financial health, social health. It’s anything you want it to be.”

The law allows abortion through the third trimester, including up to birth. “Third-trimester abortion will be allowed under the new law when an unborn child is diagnosed with a condition that will cause him or her to die at or shortly after birth,” Live Action reported.

The law removes protections for babies who survive an abortion procedure. “The new law removes protections for babies born alive after an abortion meaning they could be left to die after birth by rescinding a portion of New York’s public health law,” Live Action reported.

---

<sup>20</sup> Dworkin, Roger (1996). *Limits: The Role of the Law in Bioethical Decision Making*. United States: Indiana University Press. pp. 28–36. ISBN 978-0253330758.

<sup>21</sup> Greenhouse 2005, pp. 135–136.

<sup>22</sup> Ely, John Hart. “The Wages of Crying Wolf Archived 2007-06-25 at the Wayback Machine,” 82 *Yale Law Journal* 920 (1973). Retrieved January 23, 2007. Professor Ely “supported the availability of abortion as a matter of policy.” See Liptak, Adam. “John Hart Ely, a Constitutional Scholar, is Dead at 64”, *New York Times* (2003-10-27). Ely is generally regarded as having been a ‘liberal constitutional scholar.’ Perry, Michael (1999). *We the People: The Fourteenth Amendment and the Supreme Court* at Google Books Archived copy. Archived from the original on June 25, 2007. Retrieved October 5, 2009.

<sup>23</sup> Tribe, Laurence (1973). “The Supreme Court, 1972 Term – Foreword: Toward a Model of Roles in the Due Process of Life and Law.” *Harvard Law Review*. 87 (1): 1 [p. 7]. doi:10.2307/1339866. JSTOR 1339866. Quoted in Morgan, Richard Gregory (1979). “*Roe v. Wade* and the Lesson of the Pre-*Roe* Case Law.” *Michigan Law Review*. 77 (7): 1724–1748. doi:10.2307/1288040. JSTOR 1288040.

245 The law prevents pregnant women whose babies are killed in an attack on the mother from seeking justice and could result in infanticide by repealing the requirement for a second physician to be on hand in case an attempted abortion past 20 weeks yields a live infant.

250 The law states that an unborn child cannot be a victim of homicide if he dies while in the womb following an attack on the mother. “‘Person,’ when referring to the victim of a homicide, means a human being who has been born and is alive,” the law states.

255 President Trump called on Congress to ban “*late-term abortion of children who can feel pain*” in his 2019 State of the Union speech. “*Let us work together to build a culture that cherishes innocent life. And let us reaffirm a fundamental truth: all children — born and unborn — are made in the holy image of God,*” he said.

260 In his remarks, President Trump addressed the Reproductive Health Act, a New York state law passed in January 2019 that permits abortions after 24 weeks of pregnancy in cases when a mother's health is at risk, or when the fetus isn't viable. (Previously, the state's law allowed abortions after 24 weeks only if the mother's life was at risk, the Associated Press reported.)

265 “*Lawmakers in New York cheered with delight upon the passage of legislation that would allow a baby to be ripped from the mother’s womb moments from birth,*” President Trump said, although the law only allows abortions after 24 weeks in certain cases. “*These are living, feeling, beautiful, babies who will never get the chance to share their love and their dreams with the world.*”

270 In addition to the New York law, President Trump also mentioned Virginia governor Ralph Northam, who was recently criticized after expressing support for a state bill that would have rolled back restrictions on third-trimester abortion when a mother’s physical or mental health was at risk. “*And then, we had the case of the Governor of Virginia where he stated he would execute a baby after birth.*”

275 Shortly after the State of the Union President Trump reported; “*Senate Democrats just voted against legislation to prevent the killing of newborn infant children. The Democrat position on abortion is now so extreme that they don’t mind executing babies AFTER birth,*” President Trump tweeted. “*This will be remembered as one of the most shocking votes in the history of Congress. If there is one thing we should all agree on, it’s protecting the lives of innocent babies.*”

God tells us:

280       *“As thou knowest not what is the way of the spirit, nor how the bones do grow in the womb of her that is with child: even so thou knowest not the works of God who maketh all.” - Eccl 11:5*

God makes clear that children are His creation, an heritage, and is given as a blessing

*“I will bring you in unto the land, concerning the which I did swear to give it to Abraham, to Isaac, and to Jacob; and I will give it you for an heritage: I am the LORD.” Exo 6:8*

285       *“Lo, children are an heritage of the LORD: and the fruit of the womb [Children] is his reward.”<sup>24</sup>*

290       Likewise our posterity is that heritage and We the People “*ordained and established this Constitution for the United States of America to secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity.*” In Blacks Law, posterity includes “*all the descendants of a person in a direct line to the remotest generation.*”<sup>25</sup> This included both born and unborn therefore, We the People codified in the Preamble to the Constitution that the government is to secure the blessings of liberty for our unborn, not provide for the murdering of them.

295       In the Bible the phrase leaped for joy identifies with someone responding to the gospel and thereby filled with the holy ghost and born again, so in the following verses God gives us insight into the womb where John the Baptist at six months in the womb and yet three months to birth responded to the Word and became born again.

300       *“And it came to pass, that, when Elisabeth heard the salutation of Mary, the babe leaped in her womb; and Elisabeth was filled with the Holy Ghost: And she spake out with a loud voice, and said, Blessed art thou among women, and blessed is the fruit of thy womb. And whence is this to me, that the mother of my Lord should come to me? For, lo, as soon as the voice of thy salutation sounded in mine ears, the babe leaped in my womb for joy.” Luke 1:41-44*

---

<sup>24</sup> Psa 127:3

<sup>25</sup> Breckinridge v. Denny, 8 Bush (Ky.) 527.

305

*“Before I formed thee in the belly I knew thee; and before thou camest forth out of the womb I sanctified thee, and I ordained thee a prophet unto the nations.” Jer 1:5*

310

**IN CONCLUSION** Abortion is a natural law issue and not a positive law issue that was adjudicated unconstitutionally in Row v. Wade by the United States Supreme Court, which is an equity court governed by codes and statutes that seized a jurisdiction that they were not vested with. Congress has no authority to rewrite natural law and the U.S. Supreme Court clearly has no authority to second guess God and adjudicate, thereby overruling God thinking they can change laws by legalizing murder.

315

SEAL

August 14, 2019



---

Grand Jury Foreman

320